FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
1. Title	1.1 Title of SPERC	Use in rubber production and processing (industrial): solvent-borne	Y	Y
	1.2 SPERC code	ESVOC SPERC 4.19a.v4	Y	Y
	2.1 Substance/Product Domain			
	Substance types / functions / properties included or excluded	Applicable to petroleum substances and petrochemicals.	Y	N
	Additional specification of product types covered:	Includes a variety of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons, ketones, alcohols, acetates, glycols, glycol ethers, and glycol ether acetates.	Y	N
	Inclusion of sub-SPERCs	Yes	Ν	N
2. Scope	2.2 Process domain			
	Description of activities/processes:	Manufacture of tires and general rubber articles, including processing of raw (uncured) rubber, handling and mixing of rubber additives, vulcanising, cooling and finishing.	Y	Y
	2.3 List of applicable Use Descriptors			
	LCS	IS – Use at industrial sites	Y	Y
	su	SU11 - Manufacture rubber products	Y	Y
	PC	PC0 - Other	Y	Y
	3.1 Conditions of use			
	Location of use	Indoor	Y	Y
	Water contact during use	Yes	Y	Y
	Connected to a standard municipal biological STP	Yes	Y	Y
	Rigorously contained system with minimisation of release to the environment	No	Y	N
	Further operational conditions impacting on releases to the environment	Volatile compounds subject to air emission controls. Wastewater emissions generated from equipment cleaning with water.	Y	Y
3. Operational	3.2 Waste Handling and Disposal			
3. Operational conditions	Waste Handling and Disposal:	Residual raw materials and are in some cases recycled and fed back into the process reactor to improve efficiencies. In other cases, residues and by-products are used as raw materials for other downstream applications (EU, 2016). Wastewater generated during cleaning and maintenance operations is directed to a wastewater treatment plant for biological degradation. Atmospheric release of waste vapuor may be ameliorated using wet scrubbers, thermal oxidizers, solid adsorbents, membrane separators, biofilters, and/or cold oxidizers for trapping residual vapours. Solvent-containing liquid cleaning wastes are handled as hazardous waste and disposed of via thermal or catalytic incineration capable of efficiently converting volatile organic compounds to carbon dioxide and water. Hazardous waste handling conforms with the requirements of the Waste Framework Directive and includes procedures that minimize release during production, collection, storage, transportation, and treatment. These measures include a ban on the mixing of waste types, suitable packaging and labelling, and detailed documentation on the sources, quantities, and characteristics of the waste. EU (2016). Best Available Techniques (BAT) Reference Document for Common Waste Water and Waste Gas Treatment/Management Systems	Y	N

FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
		in the Chemical Sector. Report EUR 28112 EN. European IPPC Bureau. Seville, Spain. <u>http://eippcb.jrc.ec.europa.eu/reference/BREF/CWW Bref 2016 publishe</u> <u>d.pdf</u> EU (2008). Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives. Official Journal of the European Union 22.11.2008. <u>https://eur- lex.europa.eu/legal-</u>		
	RMM limiting release to air:	content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32008L0098&from=EN No obligatory RMMs.	Y	Y
	RMM Efficiency (air):	Optional RMMs have been assigned a nominal removal efficiency value that is not accounted for in the air release factor. See the background document for more information.	Y	Y
	Reference for RMM Efficiency (air):	EU (2016). Best Available Techniques (BAT) Reference Document for Common Waste Water and Waste Gas Treatment/Management Systems in the Chemical Sector. Report EUR 28112 EN. European IPPC Bureau. Seville, Spain. http://eippcb.jrc.ec.europa.eu/reference/BREF/CWW Bref 2016 publishe d.pdf	Y	N
	RMM limiting release to water:	Oil-water separation (e.g. <i>via</i> oil water separators, oil skimmers, or dissolved air flotation) is required.	Y	Y
4. Obligatory RMMs onsite	RMM Efficiency (water):	The efficiency of this RMM varies dependent on the treatment technology and the properties of the substance.	Y	Y
	Reference for RMM Efficiency (water):	EU (2016). Best Available Techniques (BAT) Reference Document for Common Waste Water and Waste Gas Treatment/Management Systems in the Chemical Sector. Report EUR 28112 EN. European IPPC Bureau. Seville, Spain. <u>http://eippcb.jrc.ec.europa.eu/reference/BREF/CWW_Bref_2016_publishe</u> d.pdf	Y	N
	RMM limiting release to soil:	The sludge generated from wastewater treatment is not applied to agricultural soil.	Y	Y
	RMM Efficiency (soil):	Not applicable	Y	Y
	Reference for RMM Efficiency (soil):	ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment. Chapter R.16: Environmental Exposure Assessment Version 3.0. European Chemicals Agency. Helsinki, Finland. https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements _r16_en.pdf	Y	N
	5.1 Substance use rate			
	Amount of substance use per day:	100,000 kg/day	Y	Y
	Fraction of EU tonnage used in region:	100%	Y	N
	Fraction of Regional tonnage used locally:	100%	Y	N
5. Exposure Assessment Input	Justification / information source:	ECHA, 2016. Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment. Chapter R.16: Environmental Exposure Assessment Version 3.0. European Chemicals Agency. Helsinki, Finland. <u>https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements</u> _r16_en.pdf	Y	N
Input	5.2 Days emitting			
	Number of emission days per year:	300 (default value)	Y	Y
	Justification / information source:	ECHA, 2016. Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment. Chapter R.16: Environmental Exposure Assessment Version 3.0. European Chemicals Agency. Helsinki, Finland. https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements _r16_en.pdf	Y	N
	5.3 Release factors			

ı	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.a.v4 VP >100 Pa; WS <0.001 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure >100 Pa Water solubility <0.001 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	10%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tqdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.000003%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf-ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_0Free%20Production.pdf.	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1% The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.b.v4 VP >100 Pa; WS 0.001-0.01 mg/l	Y	N

ı	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure >100 Pa Water solubility 0.001-0.01 mg/l	Y	Ν
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	10%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.000001%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m³/tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf-ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_0Free%20Production.pdf.	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
ĺ	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	Ν
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.c.v4 VP >100 Pa; WS 0.01-0.1 mg/	Y	N
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure >100 Pa Water solubility 0.01-0.1 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	10%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.00001%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf-ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_0Free%20Production.pdf.	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tadpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.d.v4 VP >100 Pa; WS 0.1-1.0 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure >100 Pa	Y	N

n	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	10%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.0001%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf- ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_0Free%20Production.pdf.	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tdopart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed	4.0%	Y	N
	as waste: Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.e.v4 VP >100 Pa; WS 1-10 mg/l	Y	N
ĺ	ERC	ERC 4		
Ì	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure >100 Pa Water solubility 1-10 mg/l	Y	N

FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	10%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tadpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.001%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m³/tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf- ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_0Free%20Production.pdf.	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.f.v4 VP >100 Pa; WS 10-100 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure >100 Pa Water solubility 10-100 mg/l	Y	Ν
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	10%	Y	Y

FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf .	Y	Ν
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	Ν
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	Ν
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	<mark>ESVOC 4.19a.g.v4</mark> VP ≥100 Pa; WS 100-1000 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure >100 Pa Water solubility 100-1000 mg/l	Y	Ν
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	10%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of	Y	Ν

ion	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
		environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)		
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf-ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_0Free%20Production.pdf.	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input	0.1%	Y	Y
	amount (Soil): Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/todpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.h.v4 VP >100 Pa; WS >1000 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure >100 Pa Water solubility >1000 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input	10%	Y	Y
	amount (Air) Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM).	Y	N

FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
		European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)		
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.3%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf- ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_0Free%20Production.pdf.	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	Ν
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	Ν
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.i.v4 VP 1-100 Pa; WS <0.001 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1-100 Pa Water solubility <0.001 mg/l	Y	Ν
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	2.5%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2,	Y	Ν

tion	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
		Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)		
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.000003%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf- ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_0Free%20Production.pdf.	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/todpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.j.v4 VP 1-100 Pa: WS 0.001-0.01 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1-100 Pa Water solubility 0.001-0.01 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air	····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		I
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	2.5%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tadpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N

Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSD
5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.000001%	Y	Y
Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m³/tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf- ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_0Free%20Production.pdf.	Y	N
5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y
Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
Percent of input amount dispose as waste:	d 4.0%	Y	N
Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.k.v4 VP 1-100 Pa; WS 0.01-0.1 mg/l	Y	N
ERC	ERC 4		
sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1-100 Pa Water solubility 0.01-0.1 mg/l	Y	N
5.3.1 Release Factor – air	· · ·		
Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	2.5%	Y	Y
Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tddpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N

FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.00001%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf-ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_0Free%20Production.pdf.	Y	Ν
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	Ν
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.I.v4	Y	N
	ERC	VP 1-100 Pa; WS 0.1-1.0 mg/l ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1-100 Pa Water solubility 0.1-1.0 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air	Tracer concountry of the might		
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	2.5%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.0001%	Y	Y

FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf-ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_0Free%20Production.pdf.	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1% The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.m.v4 VP 1-100 Pa; WS 1-10 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1-100 Pa Water solubility 1-10 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
l	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	2.5%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.001%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage	Y	N

ection	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
		at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m³/tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. <u>http://www.wolf-</u> ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_ 0Free%20Production.pdf.		
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.n.v4 VP 1-100 Pa; WS 10-100 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1-100 Pa Water solubility 10-100 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		1
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	2.5%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3	Y	N

FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
		m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. <u>http://www.wolf-</u> ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_ 0Free%20Production.pdf.		
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.o.v4 VP 1-100 Pa; WS 100-1000 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1-100 Pa Water solubility 100-1000 mg/l	Y	Ν
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	2.5%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water	Y	N

FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
		solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf- ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_ 0Free%20Production.pdf.		
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
l	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.p.v4 VP 1-100 Pa; WS >1000 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1-100 Pa Water solubility >1000 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	2.5%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.3%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors.	Y	N

FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
		Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. <u>http://www.wolf-</u> ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2 0Free%20Production.pdf.		
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	Ν
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	<u>ESVOC 4.19a.q.v4</u> VP <1 Pa; WS <0.001 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure <1 Pa Water solubility <0.001 mg/l	Y	Ν
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.000003%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany.	Y	Ν

ction	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
		http://www.wolf- ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2 0Free%20Production.pdf.		
	Ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers-consultants_2009_Wastewater%2 5.3.3 Release Factor - soil Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil): 0.1% Y Y Justification of RFs (Soil): The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert jugement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. Y N Justification of RFs (Soil): European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 2014 BLV2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing adds), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/httpatr2_2ed en.pdf) Y N 5.3.4 Release Factor - waste Y N Percent of input amount disposed as waste: 4.0% Y N Justification of RFs: The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the antiopated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for pl			
		0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium.	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
		4.0%	Y	N
		manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable	Y	N
ľ	sub-SPERC identifier:		Y	N
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:		Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			1
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.000001%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf_	Y	N

FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
		ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2 0Free%20Production.pdf.		
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	Ν
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.s.v4 VP <1 Pa; WS 0.01-0.1 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure <1 Pa Water solubility 0.01-0.1 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			1
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air) Justification of RFs (Air):	1% This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	Y
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.00001%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf- ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_0Free%20Production.pdf.	Y	N

n	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	Ν
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	Ν
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.t.v4 VP <1 Pa; WS 0.1-1.0 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure <1 Pa Water solubility 0.1-1.0 mg/l	Y	Ν
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	Ν
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.0001%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf-ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2	Y	Ν

FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.u.v4 VP <1 Pa; WS 1-10 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure <1 Pa Water solubility 1-10 mg/l	Y	Ν
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.001%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. <u>http://www.wolf-ingineers.2009_Wastewater%2_0Free%20Production.pdf.</u>	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y

FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS			
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N			
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste						
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	N			
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	Ν			
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.v.v4 VP <1 Pa; WS 10-100 mg/l	Y	Ν			
	ERC	ERC 4					
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure <1 Pa Water solubility 10-100 mg/l	Y	N			
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air						
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	1%	Y	Y			
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tadpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N			
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water						
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.01%	Y	Y			
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf-ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_0Free%20Production.pdf.	Y	Ν			
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil						
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y			
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances	Y	Ν			

FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS
		used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)		
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	Ν
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.w.v4 VP <1 Pa; WS 100-1000 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 4		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure <1 Pa Water solubility 100-1000 mg/l	Y	Ν
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m³/tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf- ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_ 0Free%20Production.pdf.	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health	Y	N

FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS	
		and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed. European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)			
	5.3.4 Release Factor - waste				
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	Ν	
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	Ν	
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 4.19a.x.v4 VP <1 Pa; WS >1000 mg/l	Y	Ν	
	ERC	ERC 4			
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure <1 Pa Water solubility >1000 mg/l	Y	N	
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air				
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	1%	Y	Y	
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N	
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water				
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.3%	Y	Y	
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The factor was established after identifying the geometric mean for eight water solubility categories and combining this result with the water usage at a tire manufacturing plant. The volume of cooling water in direct contact with the rubber as it emerges from the mixing mill was reported to be 3.3 m ³ /tonne of rubber (Wolf, 2009). This factor was used along with the water solubility limit characterizing each category to calculate the individual water release factors. Wolf, H.A., 2009. Wastewater Reuse in a Wastewater-Free Production Plant. Wolf Engineers and Consultants. Munich, Germany. http://www.wolf- ingenieure.com/pdf/wolf%20engineers+consultants_2009_Wastewater%2_0Free%20Production.pdf.	Y	Ν	
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil				
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.1%	Y	Y	
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The soil release factor was taken from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of the soil emissions ass ociated with substances used in polmer processing operations. Based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the highest soil release factor was selected for a substance that is not widely dispersed.	Y	N	

FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR	eSDS		
		European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A3.11 (processing aids), Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)				
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste					
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	4.0%	Y	Ν		
	Justification of RFs:	The quoted value was derived from a life cyle assessment for plastic parts manufacturing using injection moulding machines (Oncel et al. 2017). This operation provides a reasonable surrogate for the manufacture of rubber products such as seals and gaskets and automotive tires. An uncertainty factor of 10 has been applied to this value based on the anticipated variability of this factor across different industry sectors. Oncel, M.S. et al. (2017). Hazardous wastes and waste generation factors for plastic products manufacturing industries in Turkey. Sustainable Environ. Res. 27,188-194.	Y	Ν		
References to SPERC Background Document						
	Reference to Background Document	ESIG/ESVOC (2023). SpERC Background Document. Specific Environmental Release Categories (SpERCs) for the use of solvents and solvent borne substances in the industrial production and/or use of explosives, synthetic rubbers, and blowing agents. European Solvents Industry Group. Brussels, Belgium	Y	N		