

FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²		
1. Title	1.1 Title of SPERC	Manufacture of substance (industrial): solvent-borne	Y	Y		
	1.2 SPERC code	ESVOC SPERC 1.1.v2	Y	Y		
	2.1 Substance/Product Domain					
	Substance types / functions / properties included or excluded	Applicable to petroleum substances and petrochemicals.	Y	N		
	Additional specification of product types covered:	Includes a variety of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons, ketones, alcohols, acetates, glycols, glycol ethers, and glycol ether acetates.	Y	N		
	Inclusion of sub-SPERCs	Yes	Ν	N		
	2.2 Process domain					
2. Scope	Description of activities/processes:	Covers the commercial production of solvents and other large volume volatile organic chemicals from basic raw material feedstocks. Activities include recycling/recovery, material transfer, storage, maintenance, loading (including marine vessel/barge, road/rail car and bulk container), sampling, and associated laboratory activities. Manufacturing facilities typically operate at large integrated sites with a high degree of process control that improves resource efficiencies, material recovery, and process flexibility.	Y	Y		
	2.3 List of applicable Use Descriptors					
	LCS	M - Manufacture	Y	Y		
	SU	SU8 - Manufacture of bulk, large scale chemicals (including petroleum products)	Y	Y		
	PC	PC0 – Other	Y	Y		
	3.1 Conditions of use					
	Location of use	Indoor	Y	Y		
	Water contact during use	Yes	Y	Y		
	Connected to a standard municipal biological STP	No, site specifc biological STP with assumed discharge rate of municipal biological STP of >= 2000 m³/day	Y	Y		
3. Operational conditions	Rigorously contained system with minimisation of release to the environment	No	Y	N		
	Further operational conditions impacting on releases to the environment	Volatile compounds subject to air emission controls. Wastewater emissions generated from equipment cleaning with water.	Y	Y		
	3.2 Waste Handling and Disposal					
	Waste Handling and Disposal:	Residual raw materials and are in some cases recycled and fed back into the process reactor to improve efficiencies. In other cases, residues and by-products are used as raw materials for other downstream applications (EEA, 2016). Wastewater generated during cleaning and maintenance operations is directed to a waste water treatment plant for biological	Y	N		

¹ Explanations that are more detailed can be provided for the CSR.

² For the ES for communication a standard phrase may be selected from the ESCom catalogue when available. When no phrase is available yet in the catalogue the proposed phrase can be reported here.



FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
		degradation. Atmospheric release of waste vapor may be ameliorated using wet scrubbers, thermal oxidizers, solid adsorbents, membrane separators, biofilters, and/or cold oxidizers for trapping residual vapours. All unrecovered waste is handled as an industrial waste that can be incinerated. EEA (2016). Prevention of hazardous waste in Europe — the status in 2015 European Environment Agency, Report No. 35/2016. Copenhagen, Denmark. https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/waste-prevention-in- europe/file		
	RMM limiting release to air:	No obligatory RMMs.	Y	Y
	RMM Efficiency (air):	Optional RMMs have been assigned a nominal removal efficiency value that is not accounted for in the air release factor. See the background document for more information.	Y	Y
	Reference for RMM Efficiency (air):	EU (2016). Best Available Techniques (BAT) Reference Document for Common Waste Water and Waste Gas Treatment/Management Systems in the Chemical Sector. Report EUR 28112 EN. European IPPC Bureau. Seville, Spain. <u>http://eippcb.jrc.ec.europa.eu/reference/BREF/CWW_Bref_2016_publishe</u> <u>d.pdf</u>	Y	N
	RMM limiting release to water:	Oil-water separation (e.g. via oil water separators, oil skimmers, or dissolved air flotation) is required.	Y	Y
4. Obligatory	RMM Efficiency (water):	The efficiency of this RMM varies dependent on the treatment technology and the properties of the substance.	Y	Y
RMMs onsite	Reference for RMM Efficiency (water):	EU (2016). Best Available Techniques (BAT) Reference Document for Common Waste Water and Waste Gas Treatment/Management Systems in the Chemical Sector. Report EUR 28112 EN. European IPPC Bureau. Seville, Spain. <u>http://eippcb.jrc.ec.europa.eu/reference/BREF/CWW_Bref_2016_publishe</u> d.pdf	Y	N
	RMM limiting release to soil:	The sludge generated from wastewater treatment is not applied to agricultural soil.	Y	Y
	RMM Efficiency (soil):	Not applicable	Y	Y
	Reference for RMM Efficiency (soil):	ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment. Chapter R.16: Environmental Exposure Assessment Version 3.0. European Chemicals Agency. Helsinki, Finland. <u>https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements</u> <u>r16_en.pdf</u>	Y	N
	5.1 Substance use rate			
	Amount of substance use per day:	2,000,000 kg/day	Y	Y
	Fraction of EU tonnage used in region:	100%	Y	N
	Fraction of Regional tonnage used locally:	100%	Y	N
5. Exposure Assessment Input	Justification / information source:	ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment. Chapter R.16: Environmental Exposure Assessment Version 3.0. European Chemicals Agency. Helsinki, Finland. <u>https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements_r16_en.pdf</u>	Y	N
	5.2 Days emitting			
	Number of emission days per year:	300 (default value)	Y	Y
	Justification / information source:	ECHA, 2016. Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment. Chapter R.16: Environmental Exposure Assessment	Y	N



S Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
		Version 3.0. European Chemicals Agency. Helsinki, Finland. https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements _r16_en.pdf		
	5.3 Release factors			
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.a.v2 VP >10000 Pa; WS <1 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure >10000 Pa Water solubility <1 mg/l	Y	Ν
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air)	5.0%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.001%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	Ν
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements_s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum	Y	N



ion	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
		refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.		
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.b.v2 VP >10000 Pa; WS 1-10 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC:	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure >10000 Pa Water solubility 1-10 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	5.0%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tqdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.003%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wo-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil	(https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/upidads/2017/01/report-no-o_12.pdf)		<u> </u>
	Numeric value / percent of input	0.01%	Y	Y
	amount (Soil): Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements_s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The	Y	N



Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
		assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. <u>https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf</u> .		
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.c.v2 VP >10000 Pa; WS 10-100 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure >10000 Pa Water Solubility 10-100 mg/l	Y	Ν
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air	· · · · · · · · ·		
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	5.0%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.03%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil	(https://www.concawe.cu/wp-contenedploads/201110 httpp://https://www.concawe.cu/wp-contenedploads/201110 httpp://https://		
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements_s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor - waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the	Y	N



Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
		irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. <u>https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf</u> .		
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.d.v2 VP >10000 Pa; WS 100-1000 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure >10000 Pa Water Solubility 100-1000 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			L
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	5.0%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.3%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			1
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			I
	Percent of input amount disposed	0.2%	Y	N
	as waste Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet	Y	N



n Conte	nt field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
		Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. <u>https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf</u> .		
<mark>sub-S</mark>	PERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.e.v2 VP >10000 Pa; WS >1000 mg/l	Y	N
ERC		ERC 1		
sub-S	PERC applicability:	Vapour pressure >10000 Pa Water Solubility >1000 mg/l	Y	Ν
5.3.1 Re	elease Factor – air			
	ric value / percent of input nt (Air):	5.0%	Y	Y
	ication of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
5.3.2 Re	elease Factor – water			
	ric value / percent of input nt (Water):	1.0%	Y	Y
	ication of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
5.3.3 Re	elease Factor – soil			1
	ric value / percent of input nt (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	ication of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirement s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
5.3.4 Re	elease Factor – waste			
Perce as wa	nt of input amount disposed ste:	0.2%	Y	N
Justif	ication of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities.	Y	N



tion	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
		The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp- content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.		
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.f.v2 VP 1000-10000 Pa; WS <1 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1000-10000 Pa Water Solubility <1 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	5.0%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.001%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirement s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			1
	Percent of input amount disposed	0.2%	Y	N



	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	N
ſ	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.g.v2 VP 1000-10000 Pa; WS 1-10 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 1		
ſ	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1000-10000 Pa Water Solubility 1-10 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	5.0%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.003%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil): Justification of RFs (Soil):	0.01% The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirement s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	Y
Ì	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
ŀ	Percent of input amount disposed	0.2%	Y	N



on	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.h.v2 VP 1000-10000 Pa; WS 10-100 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1000-10000 Pa Water Solubility 10-100 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	5.0%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.03%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirement s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N



n	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.i.v2 VP 1000-10000 Pa; WS 100-1000 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1000-10000 Pa Water Solubility 100-1000 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	5.0%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.3%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirement s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N



n	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.j.v2 VP 1000-10000 Pa; WS >1000 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1000-10000 Pa Water Solubility >1000 mg/l	Y	Ν
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	5.0%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	1.0%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirement s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed	0.2%	Y	N



ection	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf .	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.k.v2 VP 100-1000 Pa; WS <1 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 100-1000 Pa Water Solubility <1 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	1.0%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.001%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirement_s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N



FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	Ν
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.l.v2 VP 100-1000 Pa; WS 1-10 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 100-1000 Pa Water Solubility 1-10 mg/l	Y	Ν
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	1.0%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.003%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements_s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N



FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²			
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste	3.4 Release Factor – waste					
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N			
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	N			
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.m.v2 VP 100-1000 Pa; WS 10-100 mg/l	Y	N			
	ERC	ERC 1					
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 100-1000 Pa Water Solubility 10-100 mg/l	Y	N			
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			1			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	1.0%	Y	Y			
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N			
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water						
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.03%	Y	Y			
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N			
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil						
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y			
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland.	Y	N			



Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
		(https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirement s_r16_en.pdf)		
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	Ν
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.n.v2 VP 100-1000 Pa; WS 100-1000 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 100-1000 Pa Water Solubility 100-1000 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	1.0%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	Ν
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.3%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01 %	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1).	Y	N



n	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
		ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirement s_r16_en.pdf)		
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			ļ.
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N
		The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The		
	Justification of RFs:	assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.o.v2 VP 100-1000 Pa; WS >1000 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 100-1000 Pa Water Solubility >1000 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	1.0%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	1.0%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	amount (oon)			



ion	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
		(ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirement s_r16_en.pdf)		
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.p.v2 VP 10-100 Pa; WS <1 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 10-100 Pa Water Solubility <1 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.001%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input	0.01%	Y	Y



n	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirement s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.q.v2 VP 10-100 Pa; WS 1-10 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 10-100 Pa Water Solubility 1-10 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			1
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.003%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium.	Y	N



S Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements_s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	Ν
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.r.v2 VP 10-100 Pa; WS 10-100 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 10-100 Pa Water Solubility 10-100 mg/l	Y	Ν
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	Ν
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.03%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N



tion	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	N
ľ	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.s.v2 VP 10-100 Pa; WS 100-1000 mg/l	Y	Ν
ļ	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 10-100 Pa Water Solubility 100-1000 mg/l	Y	Ν
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.3%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium.	Y	N



ection	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
		(https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)		
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil	1		
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirement s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.t.v2 VP 10-100 Pa; WS >1000 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 10-100 Pa Water Solubility >1000 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	0.1%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	1.0%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water	Y	N



ction	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
		solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)		
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01 %	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements_s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.u.v2 VP 1-10 Pa; WS <1 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1-10 Pa Water Solubility <1 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.001%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production	Y	N



ction	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
		sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)		
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements_s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. <u>https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf</u> .	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.v.v2 VP 1-10 Pa; WS 110 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1-10 Pa Water Solubility 1-10 mg/l	Y	Ν
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			1
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	0.01% This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	Ν
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.003%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and	Y	N



ction	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
		the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)		
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirement s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed	0.2%	Y	N
	as waste: Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.w.v2 VP 1-10 Pa; WS 10-100 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1-10 Pa Water Solubility 10-100 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		I
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.03%	Y	Y



on	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	Ν
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.x.v2 VP 1-10 Pa; WS 100-1000 mg/l	Y	N
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1-10 Pa Water Solubility 100-1000 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N



FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²		
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.3%	Y	Y		
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N		
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil					
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y		
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirement s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N		
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste					
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	Ν		
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	N		
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.y.v2 VP 1-10 Pa; WS >1000 mg/l	Y	N		
	ERC	ERC 1				
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure 1-10 Pa Water Solubility >1000 mg/l	Y	N		
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air	······				
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	0.01% This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the	Y	Y		
	Justification of RFs (Air):	worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N		



Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²	
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water				
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	1.0%	Y	Y	
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N	
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil				
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y	
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements_s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N	
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste				
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2% The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities.	Y	N	
	Justification of RFs:	The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp- content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	Ν	
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.z.v2 VP <1 Pa; WS <1 mg/l	Y	Ν	
	ERC	ERC 1			
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure <1 Pa Water Solubility <1 mg/l	Y	N	
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			<u> </u>	
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	0.001%	Y	Y	
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2,	Y	N	



ection	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
		Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)		
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			1
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.001%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	oil (htt) 5.3.3 Release Factor – soil 0. Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil): 0. Justification of RFs (Soil): Th Justification of RFs (Soil): Sa Sa Value (International States) 5.3.4 Release Factor – waste Percent of input amount disposed	0.01%	Y	Y
		The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirement_s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
I	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	Ν
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.aa.v2 VP <1 Pa; WS 110 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure <1 Pa Water Solubility 1-10 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	0.001%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM).	Y	N



ion	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
		European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)		
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.003%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01 %	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements_s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste	<u>s no en par</u>		
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2% The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp- content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	N
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.bb.v2 VP <1 Pa; WS 10-100 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure <1 Pa Water Solubility 10-100 mg/l	Y	N
			I	
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	0.001%	Y	Y



on Content f	ield	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS
		environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)		
5.3.2 Relea	ase Factor – water			
	value / percent of input	0.03%	Y	Y
amount (on of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
5.3.3 Relea	ase Factor – soil			
Numeric amount (value / percent of input	0.01%	Y	Y
	on of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirement s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
5.3.4 Relea	ase Factor – waste			
Percent of as waste	f input amount disposed	0.2%	Y	N
Justificati		The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	N
sub-SPE	RC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.cc.v2 VP <1 Pa; WS 100-1000 mg/l	Y	N
ERC		ERC 1		
sub-SPE	RC applicability:	Vapour pressure <1 Pa Water Solubility 100-1000 mg/l	Y	N
5.3.1 Relea	ase Factor – air	water colubility roo-roop mg/r		
	value / percent of input	0.001%	Y	Y



FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	0.3%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. The assigned value is in agreement with a survey of European petroleum refiners that did not show an appreciable generation of residual hazardous solvent waste (CONCAWE, 2017). CONCAWE, 2017. 2013 survey of waste production and management at European refiners, Conservation of Clean Air and Water in Europe, Brussels, Belgium. https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/rpt12-17.pdf.	Y	Ν
	sub-SPERC identifier:	ESVOC 1.1.dd.v2 VP <1 Pa; WS >1000 mg/l	Y	Ν
	ERC	ERC 1		
	sub-SPERC applicability:	Vapour pressure <1 Pa Water Solubility >1000 mg/l	Y	N
	5.3.1 Release Factor – air			1
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Air):	0.001%	Y	Y



FS Section	Content field	Explanation of content	CSR ¹	eSDS ²
	Justification of RFs (Air):	This value has been adopted from a published source that documents the worst-case estimates of air emissions based on the expert judgement of environmental scientists from the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). European Commission (2003). European Commission Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (EUTGD), Report EUR 20418 EN/2, Appendix 1, Table A1.1, Brussels, Belgium. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/16960216/tgdpart2_2ed_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.2 Release Factor – water			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Water):	1.0%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Water):	The approach used to assign this value is largely qualitative in nature and takes into consideration both the physical properties of the substance and the magnitude of wastewater production at representative production sites. This release factor has been conservatively calculated using water solubility information together with survey results of wastewater effluent volume per tonne of capacity at European oil refineries. CONCAWE (2012). Trends in oil discharged with aqueous effluents from oil refineries in Europe. Report No. 6/12. Brussels, Belgium. (https://www.concawe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/report-no-6_12.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.3 Release Factor – soil			
	Numeric value / percent of input amount (Soil):	0.01%	Y	Y
	Justification of RFs (Soil):	The value has been adopted from an authoritative literature source that documents the release factors for each environmental release category (ERC). The preceding value corresponds to the default release factor for substance manufacturing (ERC 1). ECHA (2016). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment Version 3.0. Appendix A.16-1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements_s_r16_en.pdf)	Y	N
	5.3.4 Release Factor – waste			
	Percent of input amount disposed as waste:	0.2%	Y	N
	Justification of RFs:	The value is consistent with well documented efficiencies and economies that take place in highly automated petrochemical production facilities. The operational conditions are outlined in greater detail in Factsheet Section 3.2 and are consistent with ECHA guidelines for establishing the irrelevance of a waste stage analysis for this this type of facility. ECHA (2012). Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment Chapter R.18: Exposure scenario building and environmental release estimation for the waste life stage Version 2.1. Helsinki, Finland. (https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/r18_v2_final_en.pdf)	Y	N
References to S	PERC Background Document			
	Reference to Background Document	ESIG/ESVOC (2018). SpERC Background Document (1st draft). Specific Environmental Release Categories (SpERCs) for the industrial manufacture, formulation, and intermediate use of solvents and solvent borne substances. European Solvents Industry Group. Brussels, Belgium.	Y	N